N.E. Lockwood Creek Road – North Parcel WETLAND DELINEATION & ASSESSMENT CRITICAL AREAS REPORT



<u>Prepared for:</u> La Center School District 725 Highland Road La Center, WA 98629 Prepared by: Olson Environmental, LLC 222 E. Evergreen Blvd. Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 693-4555



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	DELINEATION METHODS	1
3.0	SITE SPECIFIC METHODS	3
4.0	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	3
	4.1 WETLANDS	4
	4.2 WETLAND FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	5
	4.3 NON-WETLANDS	6
5.0	REGULATORY ISSUES	6
6.0	LITERATURE CITED	8

FIGURES

FIGURE 1 – PROJECT LOCATION
FIGURE 2 – CLARK COUNTY LIDAR TOPOGRAPHIC MAP
FIGURE 3 – LOCAL & NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY MAP
FIGURE 4 – CLARK COUNTY WEB SOIL SURVEY
FIGURE 5 – WETLAND BOUNDARY & SAMPLE PLOTS
FIGURE 6 – WETLAND BOUNDARIES & BUFFERS
PHOTO-SHEET 1 – PROJECT AREA PHOTOGRAPHS

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS

APPENDIX B – WETLAND RATING FORMS FOR WESTERN WASHINGTON AND FIGURES

- FIGURE B1 COWARDIN VEGETATION
- FIGURE B2 HYDROPERIODS MAP
- FIGURE B3 PLANT COVER MAP

FIGURE B4 – LAND USE INTESITY MAP: WETLAND D-N

FIGURE B5 – LAND USE INTESITY MAP: WETLAND E-N

FIGURE B6 – LAND USE INTESITY MAP: WETLAND F-N

FIGURE B7 – LIST OF TMDLS IN PROJECT WATERSHED

FIGURE B8 – 303(d) WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT MAP

WETLAND DELINEATION AND ASSESSMENT

Project:	NE Lockwood Creek Road Properties – North Parcel
Applicant:	La Center School District
Location:	South of NE Lockwood Creek Road, La Center,
	Washington
Legal Description:	NE ¼ of Sec. 02, T04N, R01E, W. M., Clark County
Serial Number(s):	209064-000
Study Area Size:	1.9 acres
Jurisdiction:	City of La Center, WA
Watershed:	East Fork of the Lewis River
Zoning:	LDR-7.5
ComPlan:	UL
Assessment by:	Kevin Grosz, PWS; Ryan Thiele
Site Visit(s):	September 20, 2018
Report Date:	September 28, 2018

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report details the results of a wetland delineation and assessment conducted by Olson Environmental, LLC (OE) for the La Center School District. The study area is located immediately adjacent and south of NE Lockwood Creek Road on the east edge of La Center, Washington (Fig. 1). This report identifies the extent of any wetlands and associated buffers found within the study area as defined and regulated by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) under sections 401 and 404 of the Clean Water Act, and locally by the City of La Center under the City's Critical Areas Ordinance (18.300.090(6) – Wetlands).

Currently, the 1.9-acre study area is vacant land that appears to be used for domestic livestock grazing and/or hay land. A narrow strip of shrubs interspersed with trees separates the grassland portion of the site from NE Lockwood Creek Road to the north. Generally, the site is gently sloped from north to south (Fig. 2). Photographs of the study area and wetlands are provided in Photo-Sheet 1.

2.0 WETLAND DELINEATION AND ASSESSMENT METHODS

The wetland delineation was conducted according to the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region (USACE, 2010.) hereafter, referred to as the manual. According to the manual, jurisdictional wetlands are defined as:

Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life

in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

Prior to the on-site investigations, a review of existing information related to determination of wetland boundaries was conducted. This review included the Clark County LiDAR topographic data (Fig. 2), National Wetland Inventory (NWI) data and Clark County Wetland Inventory (LWI) data (Fig. 3), NRCS Clark County Soil Survey data (Fig. 4), and aerial photographs.

The manual uses three parameters in making wetland determinations: hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology. Except in certain situations defined in the manual, evidence of a minimum of one positive indicator from each parameter (hydrology, soil, and vegetation) must be found in order to make a positive wetland determination.

<u>Hydrophytic vegetation</u> are plants that due to morphological, physiological, and/or reproductive adaptations, have the ability to grow, effectively compete, reproduce, and/or persist in anaerobic soil conditions. Hydrophytic vegetation is present when more than 50 percent of the dominant species have an indicator status of OBL, FACW, and/or FAC. Wetland indicator status ratings and their ordinal rating categories, based on ecological descriptions:

Indicator Status (abbreviation) Ecological Description* *Obligate (OBL) Almost always is a hydrophyte, rarely in uplands Facultative Wetland (FACW) Usually is a hydrophyte but occasionally found in uplands Facultative (FAC) Commonly occurs as either a hydrophyte or nonhydrophyte Facultative Upland (FACU) Occasionally is a hydrophyte, but usually occurs in uplands Upland (UPL) Rarely is a hydrophyte, almost always in uplands.* *Source: Lichvar and Minkin (2008)

<u>Hydric soils</u> are soils that are saturated, flooded, or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions that favor the growth and regeneration of hydrophytic vegetation. The presence or absence of hydric soils is determined in the field by digging soil pits to a depth of a minimum of 16 inches and examining the soil for hydric soil indicators. Organic soils such as peats and mucks are considered hydric soils. Mineral hydric soils are generally either gleyed or have redox concentrations and/or low matrix chroma immediately below the A-horizon or 10 inches (whichever is shallower). Soil colors are determined using the Munsell Soil Color Chart (Munsell Color System 2009).

<u>Wetland hydrology</u> is present when an area is inundated or saturated to the surface for at least 5 percent of the growing season. The growing season is defined as the portion of the year when soil temperature at 19.7 inches below the soil surface is greater than biological zero (5 degrees C). The site was examined for standing water and/or saturated soils, which serve as primary indicators of wetland hydrology. The area was also checked for

other wetland hydrologic characteristics such as watermarks, wetland drainage patterns, and morphological plant adaptations.

3.0 SITE SPECIFIC METHODS

OE conducted the onsite wetland delineation and assessment on September 20, 2018, using the methodology found in the Regional Supplement to the Manual (USACE 2010). In addition, applicable guidance and any supporting technical guidance documents issued by the USACE, Ecology, and Clark County GIS were also utilized.

The entire site was first traversed by foot to observe any visible wetland conditions. Once the general locations of the wetland boundaries were identified, paired data plots were taken in areas that represented the conditions of the uplands and wetlands, respectively. One (1) and ten (10) meter radius plots were chosen in a uniform topographic position that was representative of a single plant community. The paired plots were located approximately 5 - 10 feet apart to minimize the margin of error. Soils at each sample plot are typically inspected to a depth of 16 inches (or more) to determine the presence or absence of hydric soil characteristics and/or wetland hydrology. Data sheets for the sample plots are attached in Appendix A.

The wetland boundaries were determined based on the presence of hydric soils (i.e. redox concentrations in the soil matrix), the presence of wetland hydrology (i.e. oxidized rhizospheres along living roots, soil saturation), and a dominance of hydrophytic vegetation. It should be noted that only paired plots were recorded in the field, however, numerous unrecorded plots were dug to confirm wetland boundaries. The on-site wetlands were classified according the USFWS classification system (Cowardin et al. 1979) and the Hydrogeomorphic (HGM) classification system (Adamus et al. 2001).

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

According to the NWI/LWI wetlands map (Fig. 3), wetlands are not projected or modeled within the project area. It should be noted that these maps are created through aerial photograph and topographic map interpretation and are not intended to represent the extent of jurisdictional wetlands. There may be unmapped wetland and waters subject to regulation and all wetlands and waters boundary mapping is approximate. In all cases, actual field conditions determine the presence, absence and boundaries of wetlands and waters.

Four soil types are mapped on the site (Fig. 4):

Gee Silt Loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes (GeB). Gee soils are deep, moderately well drained soils formed in the old alluvium deposited by the Columbia River. They are moderately permeable in the surface layer and very slow in the subsurface. Surface runoff is slow and the erosion hazard is slight. In a typical profile, these soils are a very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) silt loam in the upper 9 inches. Below this to a depth of 14 inches they are a

dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) silt loam with yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) redox concentrations. It is listed as a **non-hydric** soil.

Gee silt loam, 8 to 20 percent slopes (GeD). This soil is similar to Gee silt loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes, except that the surface layer is 1 to 3 inches thinner. Sidehill seeps are common on these slopes in winter and spring. Surface runoff is medium, and the erosion hazard is moderate. It is listed as a **non-hydric** soil.

Hillsboro silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes (HoC). This soil was formed in mixed, silty and loamy old alluvium. It is well drained, has moderate permeability, surface runoff is slow to medium, and the erosion hazard is moderate. In a typical profile, soils are dark brown (10YR 3/3) loam or silt loam to a depth of 15 inches. It is listed as a **non-hydric** soil.

Odne silt loam, 0 to 5 percent slopes (OdB). This soil generally occurs in concave areas in drainageways or depressions within areas of Gee soils. In most places the slope is 1 to 2 percent. In a typical profile, the surface layer is about 10 inches thick. It is mottled, dark-gray heavy silt loam in the upper part. The subsurface layer is firm, mottled, gray silt loam about nine inches thick. The next eight inches is very firm, mottled, dark-gray silty clay loam that overlies six inches of firm, mottled, dark-gray clay loam. This soil is poorly drained and very slowly permeable. A high water-table is common in winter. It is classified as a **hydric soil** according to the Clark County hydric soils list.

4.1 WETLANDS (FIG. 5)

Three (3) wetlands were identified and delineated within the study area as shown in Figure 5. A description of each of these wetlands are as follows:

Wetland D-N (1,541 sq. ft.)

Wetland D-N is characterized as a palustrine, emergent wetland and is located in the southwestern portion of the study area along the western property boundary (Fig. 5). It appears this wetland is predominately sustained by runoff from a ditch along the western property boundary. This wetland is classified as a slope HGM class. Table 1 outlines the functional assessment for this wetland.

The vegetation is predominantly colonial bent grass (*Agrostis capillaris* – FAC), reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea* – FACW) and Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus* – FAC). Hydric soil indicators included a reduced matrix. Soils from 0 to 12 inches are a very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) silt loam with brown (7.5YR 4/4) redox concentrations and turn very dark gray (10YR 3/1) from 12 to 16 inches with similar redox concentrations. Wetland hydrology was indicated by drainage patterns and geomorphic position.

Wetland E-N (1,048 sq. ft.)

Wetland E-N is characterized as a palustrine, scrub-shrub wetland and is located in the northern portion of the property adjacent to NE Lockwood Creek Road (Fig 5). It appears

that the wetland is predominately sustained by a culvert from the road that drains into the scrub/shrub portion of the study area along the roadside. This wetland is classified as a slope HGM class wetland. Table 1 outlines the functional assessment for this wetland.

Vegetation in Wetland E-N consists of snowberry (*Symphoricarpos albus* – FACU), Scouler's willow (*Salix scouleriana* – FAC), and meadowsweet (*Spiraea douglasii* – FACW) in the shrub layer. Reed canary grass dominates the herbaceous layer, with Himalayan blackberry interspersed. Hydric Soil indicators included a reduced matrix with. Soils from 0 to 5 inches are a very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) silt loam and turn very dark gray (10YR 3/1) from 5 to 16 inches with dark brown (7.5YR 3/4) redox concentrations. Wetland hydrology was indicated by oxidized rhizospheres along living roots, drainage patterns and geomorphic position.

Wetland F-N (2,647 sq. ft.)

Wetland F-N is characterized as a palustrine, forested/scrub-shrub wetland and is located in the northeastern portion of the property adjacent to NE Lockwood Creek Road (Fig 5). This wetland appears to be predominately sustained by a road culvert that drains into this of the study area and may contain drain tile that is artificially draining this portion of the study area. This wetland is classified as a depressional HGM class wetland. Table 1 outlines the functional assessment for this wetland.

Vegetation in Wetland F-N is dominated by black cottonwood (*Populus balsamifera* – FAC) and Nootka rose (*Rosa nutkana* – FAC) in the tree and shrub canopies, with soft rush (*Juncus effusus* – FACW) and colonial bent grass in the herbaceous layer. Hydric soil indicators included a reduced matrix. Soils from 0 to 16 inches are a very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) clayey silt loam with brown (7.5YR 4/4) redox concentrations. Wetland hydrology was indicated by oxidized rhizospheres along living roots, drainage patterns and geomorphic position.

4.2 WETLAND FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT

The delineated wetlands have been assessed using the Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington (Hruby Update 2014). The system was designed to differentiate between wetlands based on their sensitivity to disturbance, their significance, their rarity, our ability to replace them, and the functions they provide. Through a series of questions, the wetland rating system generates a number for water quality functions, hydrologic functions, and habitat function, which creates as overall wetland function score. Based on the total score, the wetland is categorized as a Category I, II, III, or IV wetland. Table 1 below summarizes the wetland type, total score for functions, and category of wetlands identified within the study area.

Wetland	Wetland Type	Water Quality Functions	Hydrologic Functions	Habitat Functions	Total Score	Wetland Category
D-N	Slope	6	3	5	14	IV
E-N	Slope	6	3	4	13	IV
F-N	Slope	6	4	4	14	IV

Table 1. Wetland Function Rating

4.3 NON-WETLANDS

The non-wetland portions in the project area are primarily open grassland that appears to be used primarily as hay land and may also be used to graze domestic livestock. In addition, there are two areas with mixed shrub and tree cover. Vegetation in the grassland area is more than likely a pasture seed mixture that has been sown for the pasture/hay uses. This area consists tall sweet vernal grass (*Anthoxanthum odoratum* – FACU), false rye grass (*Schedonorus arundinaceus* - FAC), colonial bent grass, and spreading bent grass (*A. stolonifera* – FAC), velvet grass (*Holcus lanatus* – FAC). Vegetation in the upland areas to the north along the roadside consists of black cottonwood, cascara (*Frangula pershiana* – FAC), Nootka rose, Himalayan blackberry, trailing blackberry (*R. ursinus* – FACU) and reed canary grass. A shrub patch in the southwest corner is primarily bitter cherry (*Prunus emarginata*) and Nootka rose. No wetland hydrology or soil indicators were observed in these ares of the study site.

5.0 REGULATORY ISSUES

Through the course of the wetland delineation and assessment, three wetlands were identified on the property as shown in Figures 5 and 6. The subsequent wetland buffers are based on the guidelines of LMC 18.300.090(6). This section of the LMC provides for the protection of wetlands within the City's jurisdiction. The ordinance establishes protective buffers associated with wetlands and specifies that certain permits or approvals be obtained for projects containing wetlands or their respective buffers.

As shown in Table 1, Wetland D-N, Wetland E-N, and Wetland F-N are HGM slope class Category IV wetlands with low habitat scores. According to LMC Table 18.300.090(h)(i)-1, wetlands in a proposed high intensity land use with a low habitat score are protected by a 50-foot buffer (Fig. 6) to maintain hydrologic functions. N.E. Lockwood Creek Road forms the northern portion of the study area. Buffers along the road are functionally isolated since the roadway provides no protection to the wetlands.

In addition, LMC 18.300.090(6), jurisdictional wetlands are also regulated at the federal and state levels by the USACE and Ecology under Sections 404 and 401 of the Clean Water Act, respectively. Any impacts to the wetlands will require notification and approval from the USACE and Ecology. It is recommended that the USACE and Ecology be contacted regarding current permit requirements before proceeding with any development activities that would impact wetlands on this site.

The wetland boundaries and classifications shown in this report have been determined using the most appropriate field techniques and best professional judgment of the environmental scientist. It should be noted that USACE and City of La Center have the final authority in determining the wetland boundaries and categories under their respective jurisdictions. It is recommended that this delineation report be submitted to these agencies for concurrence prior to starting any development or planning activities that would affect wetlands or buffers on this site.

6.0 LITERATURE CITED

Adamus, et al. 2001. <u>Guidebook for Hydrogeomorphic (HGM) Based Assessments of</u> <u>Oregon Wetlands and Riparian Sites.</u> Statewide Classification and Profiles. Oregon State Department of State Lands, Salem, Oregon.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Bolet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. <u>Classification of Wetlands</u> and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services Biological Services Program FWS/OBS-79/31. Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Department of the Army. 1987. <u>Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual.</u> Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.

Hruby, T. 2014. Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington – 2014 Update. Washington State Department of Ecology Publication # 14-06-29. http://www.ecy.wa.gov/pubs/0406025.pdf

Munsell Color System. 2009. <u>Munsell Soil Color Charts.</u> Produced by x-rite. 4300 44th Street, Grand Rapids, MI 49512.

Lichvar, R.W. 2012. The National Wetland Plant List – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast 2012 Final Regional Wetland Plant List. ERDC/CRREL TR-12-11. Hanover, NW: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Cold Region Research and Engineering Laboratory.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region. ERDC/EL TR-10-3, Vicksburg MS.

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. Available online at http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/. Accessed 8/5/2015.

FIGURES

FIGURE 1 – PROJECT LOCATION

FIGURE 2 – CLARK COUNTY LIDAR TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

FIGURE 3 – LOCAL & NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY MAP

FIGURE 4 – CLARK COUNTY WEB SOIL SURVEY

FIGURE 5 – WETLAND BOUNDARY & SAMPLE PLOTS

FIGURE 6 – WETLAND BOUNDARIES & BUFFERS

PHOTO-SHEET 1 – PROJECT AREA PHOTOGRAPHS



725 NE Highland Ave La Center, WA 98629

PURPOSE: Wetland Delineation & Assessment

Project Location Map NE Lockwood Creek Road - North Parcel La Center, Washington



222 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98660 ph: 360-693-4665 fax: 360-699-6242

OLSON

ENVIRONMENTALuc

Figure 1



APPLICANT: La Center School District 725 NE Highland Ave La Center, WA 98629

PURPOSE: Wetland Delineation & Assessment

Topography Map NE Lockwood Creek Road - North Parcel La Center, Washington

OLSON

ENVIRONMENTALuc

PROPOSED ACTIVITIES IN: Lockwood Creek Watershed LEGAL: NE ¼ of S2, T4N, R1E, W. M. NEAR: La Center, Washington COUNTY: Clark County DATE: September 26, 2018

222 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98660 ph; 360-693-4555 fax: 360-699-6242

Figure 2

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for, or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. Users of this information should review or consult the primary data and information sources to a sectian the usability of the information.

Legend

Project Area

Local Wetland Inventory

Presence:



Wetlands

National Wetland Inventory Cowardin Classification:

PEMA- Plaustrine Emergent Temporary

Source Earl, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstan Geographics, CNES/Althus DS, USDA, USOS, AeroGRID, TGN, and the GIS User Community

U- Upland

APPLICANT: La Center School District 725 NE Highland Ave La Center, WA 98629

PURPOSE: Wetland Delineation & Assessment

Local and National Wetland Inventories NE Lockwood Creek Road - North Parcel La Center, Washington

ACC210

ENVIRONMENTALuc

500 Feet



Figure 3

222 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98660 ph: 360-693-4555 fax: 360-699-6242









APPLICANT: La Center School District 725 NE Highland Ave La Center, WA 98629

PURPOSE: Wetland Delineation & Assessment

Study Area Photographs NE Lockwood Creek Road - North Parcel La Center, Washington



PROPOSED ACTIVITIES IN: Lockwood Creek Watershed LEGAL: NE ¼ of S2, T4N, R1E, W. M. NEAR: La Center, Washington COUNTY: Clark County DATE: September 26, 2018

Photo-Sheet 1

APPENDIX A

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS

Project/Site: La Center School District/NE Lockwood Creek Rd	City/County: La Center/Clark	Sampling Date: <u>09/20/2018</u>					
Applicant/Owner: La Center School District State: WA		Sampling Point: <u>1</u>					
Investigator(s): Kevin Grosz, Ryan Thiele Section,	Township, Range: <u>02, T4N, R1E</u>						
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concav	ve, convex, none): <u>concave</u> Slope (%): <u>8-20%</u>						
Subregion (LRR): Northwest Forests & Coast (LRR A)	Lat: <u>45.86010680</u> Long: <u>-122.64972330</u>	Datum: <u>WGS84</u>					
Soil Map Unit Name: Gee silt loam NWI classification: N/A							
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? <u>Yes</u> (if no, explain in Remarks.)							
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances"	′′ present? <u>Yes</u>					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic?	(if needed, explain any answ	vers in Remarks.)					

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes						
Hydric Soil Present? <u>No</u>						
Wetland Hydrology Present? <u>No</u>		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? No				
Remarks:						

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>10 meter</u>)	Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A)
2.				
3.				Total Number of Dominant
4.				Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
Total Cover = <u>0</u>				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter)				Percent of Dominant Species
1.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100</u> (A/B)
2.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
3.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4.				OBL species $\underline{0}$ x 1 = $\underline{0}$
5.				FACW species $\underline{0}$ x 2 = $\underline{0}$
Total Cover =0				FAC species $85 \times 3 = 255$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 1 meter)				FACU species $\underline{10}$ x 4 = $\underline{40}$
1. Schedonorus arundinaceus	60	Yes	FAC	UPL species $\underline{0}$ x 5 = $\underline{0}$
2. Holcus lanatus	20	Yes	FAC	Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A) <u>295</u> (B)
3. Anthoxanthum odoratum	10	No	FACU	
4 Agrostis capillaris	5	No	FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.11</u>
5.	<u>-</u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 –Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8				X 2 – Dominance Test >50%
9				3 - Prevalence Index is $≤ 3.0^{1}$
10				4 - Morphological Adaptions ¹ (Provide supporting
11				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Total Cover -95				5 – Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹
				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>10 meter</u>)				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology
1.				must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.				
Total Cover = <u>0</u>				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: <u>5</u>				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes
Remarks:				

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Mat	rix	-	<u>Redox F</u>	eatures					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks	
(inches)										
<u>0-16</u>	<u>10YR 3/2</u>	<u>100</u>		<u>0</u>			<u>Silt Loam</u>			
¹ Type: C=	Concentration, D	=Depletior	n, RM=Reduced Ma	atrix, CS=0	Covered or	Coated S	and Grains. ² L	ocation: P	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix	
Hydric So	il Indicators: (App	olicable to	all LRRs, unless ot	herwise r	noted.)			Indicato	ors for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
Histos	sol (A1)		Sa	ndy Redo	x (S5)			<u>2</u> c	2 cm Muck (A10)	
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		Str	_Stripped Matrix (S6)				Red	Red Parent Material (TF2)	
Black	Histic (A3)		Lo	_Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)				Ve	ry Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
Hydro	ogen Sulfide (A4)		Lo	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				Oth	ner (Explain in Remarks)	
Deple	ted Below Dark S	urface (A1	1)De	pleted M	atrix (F3)					
Thick	Dark Surface (A12	2)	Re	dox Dark	Surface (F	6)		³ Indica	tors of hydrophytic vegetation and	
<u> S</u> andy	/ Mucky Mineral (S1)	De	_Depleted Dark Surface (F7)				wetland hydrology must be present, unless		
Sandy	/ Gleyed Matrix (S	4)	Re	Redox Depressions (F8)				disturb	ed or problematic.	
Restrictiv	e Layer (if presen	it):								
Type:										
Depth (inches): <u>0</u>							Hydric	Soil Present? <u>No</u>		
Remarks:										

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	Secondary Indicators (two or more required)							
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Water-Stained Leaves (B9)(MLRA 1,2,4A,4B)					
High Water Table (A2)	(except MLRA 1,2,4A, and 4B)		Drainage Patterns (B10)					
Saturation (A3)	Salt Crust (B11)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)					
Water Marks (B1)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)					
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Geomorphic Position (D2)					
Drift Deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living	, Roots (C3)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)					
Iron Deposits (B5)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi	is (C6)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6)(LRR A)					
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)(LR	RA)	Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)					
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)							
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)								
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present? No	Depth (inches):	1						
Water Table Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):	1						
Saturation Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):		·					
(includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hyd	rology Present? <u>No</u>					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	coring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if availal	ble:					
Remarks:								

Sampling Date: <u>09/20/2018</u>
Sampling Point: <u>2</u>
<u>8-20%</u>
0 Datum: <u>WGS84</u>
stances" present? <u>Yes</u>
ny answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?		
Hydric Soil Present? <u>Yes</u>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? <u>Yes</u>
Remarks:		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

· · · · ·	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 meter</u>)	Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Populus balsamifera	<u>40</u>	Yes	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>4</u> (A)
2.				
3.				Total Number of Dominant
4.				Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B)
Total Cover = <u>40</u>				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>10 meter</u>)				Percent of Dominant Species
1. <u>Rosa nutkana</u>	20	Yes	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, OF FAC: <u>100</u> (A/B)
2. <u>Corylus cornuta</u>	<u>2</u>	No	FACU	Prevalence Index worksheet:
3.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4.				OBL species $\underline{0}$ x 1 = $\underline{0}$
5.				FACW species 35 x 2 = 70
Total Cover = <u>22</u>				FAC species $90 \times 3 = 270$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>1 meter</u>)				FACU species $\underline{19}$ x 4 = $\underline{76}$
1. Juncus effusus	20	Yes	FACW	UPL species $\underline{0}$ x 5 = $\underline{0}$
2. <u>Rubus ursinus</u>	<u>15</u>	No	FACU	Column Totals: 144 (A) 416 (B)
3. Phalaris arundinacea	15	No	FACW	
4. Schedonorus arundinaceus	5	No	FAC	Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.89$
5. Anthoxanthum odoratum	2	No	FACU	
6. Phleum pratense	5	No	FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7. Agrostis capillaris	20	Yes	FAC	1 –Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8.				X 2 – Dominance Test >50%
9.				<u>X</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0 ¹
10.				4 - Morphological Adaptions ¹ (Provide supporting
11				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Total Cover =82				5 – Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹
				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>1 meter</u>)				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology
1.				must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.				
Total Cover = <u>0</u>				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: <u>18</u>				Hydronhytic Vegetation Present? Yes
Remarks:				Tydrophytic vegetation resent: <u>res</u>
incritation.				

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Mat	<u>rix</u>		<u>Redox F</u>	-eatures				
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks
(inches)									
<u>0-16</u>	<u>10YR 3/2</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>7.5YR 4/4</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>M</u>	Clayey Silt Loam		
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix									
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :									
Histos	sol (A1)		Sa	ndy Redo	x (S5)			2 cm Muck (A10)	
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		Sti	ripped Ma	atrix (S6)			Rec	d Parent Material (TF2)
Black	Histic (A3)		Lo	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)					ry Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
Hydro	ogen Sulfide (A4)		Lo	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)					ner (Explain in Remarks)
Deple	ted Below Dark S	urface (A1	1)De	pleted M	atrix (F3)				
Thick	Dark Surface (A12	2)	<u> X </u> Re	_Redox Dark Surface (F6) ³ Ir					tors of hydrophytic vegetation and
Sandy	/ Mucky Mineral (S1)	De	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)					d hydrology must be present, unless
Sandy	/ Gleyed Matrix (S	54)	Re	Redox Depressions (F8)					ed or problematic.
Restrictiv	e Laver (if preser	nt):							
Type:	,	•							
Depth (inches): <u>O</u>								Hydric	Soil Present? <u>Yes</u>
Remarks:									

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	Secondary Indicators (two or more required)				
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)(MLRA 1,2,4A,4B)				
High Water Table (A2)	(except MLRA 1,2,4A, and 4B)		<u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Saturation (A3)	Salt Crust (B11)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		<u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	<u>X</u> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living	Roots (C3)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi	ls (C6)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6)(LRR A)		
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	RA)	Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)					
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? No	Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):				
Saturation Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):				
(includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hyd	rology Present? <u>Yes</u>		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	oring well, aerial photos, previous inspect	tions), if availa	ble:		
Remarks:					

Project/Site: La Center School District/NE Lockwood Creek Rd	City/County: La Center/Clark	Sampling Date: <u>09/20/2018</u>					
Applicant/Owner: La Center School District State: WA		Sampling Point: <u>3</u>					
Investigator(s): Kevin Grosz, Ryan Thiele Section, Township, Range: 02, T4N, R1E							
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concav	ve, convex, none): <u>concave</u> Slope (%): <u>8-20%</u>						
Subregion (LRR): Northwest Forests & Coast (LRR A)	Lat: <u>45.86019240</u> Long: <u>-122.64966090</u>	Datum: <u>WGS84</u>					
Soil Map Unit Name: Gee silt loam NWI classification: N/A							
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes(if no, explain in Remarks.)							
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances"	' present? <u>Yes</u>					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic?	(if needed, explain any answ	ers in Remarks.)					

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	<u>No</u>	
Hydric Soil Present?	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? No
Remarks:		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>10 meter</u>)	Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A)
2.				
3.				Total Number of Dominant
4.				Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B)
Total Cover = <u>0</u>				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter)				Percent of Dominant Species
1.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>50</u> (A/B)
2.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
3.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4.				OBL species $\underline{0}$ x 1 = $\underline{0}$
5.				FACW species $\underline{0}$ x 2 = $\underline{0}$
Total Cover =0				FAC species <u>45</u> x 3 = <u>135</u>
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 1 meter)				FACU species <u>35</u> x 4 = <u>140</u>
1 Anthoxanthum odoratum	20	Voc	EACU	UPL species $\underline{0}$ x 5 = $\underline{0}$
2. Agrostic capillaric	20	Voc	EAC	Column Totals: <u>80</u> (A) <u>275</u> (B)
2. <u>Agrostis capillaris</u>	<u>20</u> 15	Voc	FAC	
5. <u>John John Standing Construct</u>	10	<u>Tes</u>	FAC	Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.44$
S. <u>Hoicus ialiatus</u>	10	<u>INO</u>	FAC	
b.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7.				1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8.				2 - Dominance Test >50%
9.				3 - Prevalence Index is $< 3.0^{1}$
10.				S - Morphological Adaptions ¹ (Provide supporting
11.				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Total Cover = <u>65</u>				5 – Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹
				5 Wettahu Woh Vaseulai Hants Broblematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>1 meter</u>)				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology
1. Rubus ursinus	15	Yes	FACU	must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.				····· 4. ···· 4.
Total Cover =15				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: <u>35</u>				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No
Remarks:				

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Mat	ri <u>x</u>		<u>Redox F</u>	eatures					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks	
(inches)										
<u>0-16</u>	<u>10YR 3/2</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>			<u>Silt Loam</u>			
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix										
Hydric So	il Indicators: (Ap	olicable to	all LRRs, unless ot	herwise r	noted.)			Indicato	rs for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
Histos	sol (A1)		Sa	ndy Redo	x (S5)			2 cr	2 cm Muck (A10)	
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		Sti	_Stripped Matrix (S6)					Red Parent Material (TF2)	
Black	Histic (A3)		Lo	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)					Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
Hydro	ogen Sulfide (A4)		Lo	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)					er (Explain in Remarks)	
Deple	ted Below Dark S	urface (A1	1)De	pleted M	atrix (F3)					
Thick	Dark Surface (A12	2)	Re	Redox Dark Surface (F6)					³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
Sandy	/ Mucky Mineral (S1)	De	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)					d hydrology must be present, unless	
Sandy	/ Gleyed Matrix (S	4)	Re	Redox Depressions (F8)					ed or problematic.	
Restrictiv	e Layer (if presen	it):								
Type:	,									
Depth (inches): 0								Hydric	Soil Present? <u>No</u>	
Remarks:										

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	Secondary Indicators (two or more required)		
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)(MLRA 1,2,4A,4B)		
High Water Table (A2)	(except MLRA 1,2,4A, and 4B)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Salt Crust (B11)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Geomorphic Position (D2)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living	g Roots (C3)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi	ls (C6)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6)(LRR A)
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)(LR	RA)	Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? No	Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):		
(includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hyd	rology Present? <u>No</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	toring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if availa	ble:
Remarks:			

Project/Site: La Center School District/NE Lockwood Creek Rd	City/County: La Center	/Clark	Sampling	Date: 09/20/2018			
Applicant/Owner: La Center School District State: WA				Sampling Point: <u>4</u>			
Investigator(s): Kevin Grosz, Ryan Thiele Section, Township, Range: 02, T4N, R1E							
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (conca	ave, convex, none): <u>conc</u>	<u>cave</u> Slope (%): <u>8-15%</u>					
Subregion (LRR): Northwest Forests & Coast (LRR A)	Lat: <u>45.86057640</u> L	.ong: <u>-122.65070310</u>	Datum: <u>WGS84</u>				
Soil Map Unit Name: Hillsboro silt loam NWI classification	: <u>N/A</u>						
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes(if no, explain in Remarks.)							
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed	? A	re "Normal Circumstances"	present? <u>Yes</u>				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic	? (it	f needed, explain any answ	ers in Remarks.)				

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? <u>Yes</u>
Remarks:		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>10 meter</u>)	Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>4</u> (A)
2.				
3.				Total Number of Dominant
4.				Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B)
Total Cover = <u>0</u>				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>10 meter</u>)				Percent of Dominant Species
1. <u>Symphoricarpos albus</u>	<u>10</u>	Yes	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>80</u> (A/B)
2. Salix scouleriana	5	Yes	FAC	Prevalence Index worksheet:
3. Spiraea douglasii	5	Yes	FACW	Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
4.				OBL species $\underline{0}$ x 1 = $\underline{0}$
5.				FACW species $90 \times 2 = 180$
Total Cover = <u>20</u>				FAC species $\frac{25}{10}$ x 3 = $\frac{75}{10}$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>1 meter</u>)				FACU species 10 x 4 = 40
1. Phalaris arundinacea	<u>75</u>	Yes	FACW	$\begin{array}{ccc} UPL \text{ species} & \underline{U} & X & 5 = \underline{U} \\ Column Tatala & 125 & (A) & 205 & (B) \end{array}$
2. Juncus effusus	<u>10</u>	No	FACW	Column lotals: 125 (A) 295 (B)
3. <u>Schedonorus arundinaceus</u>	<u>5</u>	No	FAC	
4.				Prevalence index = $B/A = 2.36$
5.				
6.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7.				1 –Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8.				\underline{X} 2 – Dominance Test >50%
9.				\underline{X} 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
10.				4 - Morphological Adaptions ¹ (Provide supporting
11.				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Total Cover =90				5 – Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹
				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 1 meter)				Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology
1. Rubus armeniacus	15	Yes	FAC	must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2			<u></u>	
Total Cover =15				
10ta 60ter <u>15</u>				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: <u>10</u>				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? <u>Yes</u>
Remarks:				

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Mat	rix		<u>Redox F</u>	eatures				
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks
(inches)									
<u>0-5</u>	<u>10YR 3/2</u>	<u>100</u>		<u>0</u>			<u>Silt Loam</u>		
<u>5-16</u>	<u>10YR 3/1</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>7.5YR 3/4</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>C</u>	M	Clayey Silt Loam		
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix									
Hydric So	il Indicators: (App	olicable to	all LRRs, unless ot	herwise r	noted.)			Indicato	rs for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histos	sol (A1)		Sa	ndy Redo	x (S5)			2 c	m Muck (A10)
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		Str	ripped Matrix (S6)				Red Parent Material (TF2)	
Black	Histic (A3)		Lo	pamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)					ry Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)		Lo	amy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				Oth	ner (Explain in Remarks)
Deple	ted Below Dark S	urface (A1	1)De	pleted M	atrix (F3)				
Thick	Dark Surface (A12	2)	X Re	dox Dark	Surface (F	6)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)	De	epleted Dark Surface (F7)				wetlan	d hydrology must be present, unless
Sandy	Gleved Matrix (S	4)	Re	Redox Depressions (F8)				disturb	ed or problematic.
Rostrictiv	o Lover (if presen	+).		•	·	,			
Type:	e Layer (il presen								
Denth (inches): 0								Hydric	Soil Present? Yes
Depth (inclies). <u>D</u>									
Remarks:									

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	Secondary Indicators (two or more required)				
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)(MLRA 1,2,4A,4B)				
High Water Table (A2)	(except MLRA 1,2,4A, and 4B)		X Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Saturation (A3)	Salt Crust (B11)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		<u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	<u>X</u> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living	g Roots (C3)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi	ls (C6)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6)(LRR A)		
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	RA)	Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)					
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? No	Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):				
Saturation Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):				
(includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hyd	rology Present? <u>Yes</u>		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	oring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if availa	ble:		
Remarks:					

Project/Site: La Center School District/NE Loc	kwood Creek Rd	City/County: La Co	enter/Clark	Sampling	Date: <u>09/20/2018</u>
Applicant/Owner: La Center School District	State: <u>WA</u>				Sampling Point: <u>5</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Kevin Grosz, Ryan Thiele</u>	Section,	Township, Range: <u>02</u>	<u>, T4N, R1E</u>		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): <u>hillslope</u>	Local relief (concav	/e, convex, none): <u>no</u>	one Slope (%): <u>8-15%</u>		
Subregion (LRR): Northwest Forests & Coast (L	<u>LRR A)</u>	Lat: <u>45.86019240</u>	Long: <u>-122.64966090</u>	Datum: WGS84	
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Hillsboro silt loam</u>	NWI classification:	<u>N/A</u>			
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site	typical for this time of	year? Yes(if no, exp	olain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology si	ignificantly disturbed?		Are "Normal Circumstances'	" present? <u>Yes</u>	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology n	aturally problematic?		(if needed, explain any answ	vers in Remarks.)	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	
Hydric Soil Present?	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? No
Remarks:		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter) Cover Species? Status Number of Dominant Species 1. That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A) 3. Total Number of Dominant
1. That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A) 2. . 3. Total Number of Dominant
2. 3. Total Number of Dominant
3. Total Number of Dominant
4. Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
Total Cover = <u>0</u>
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter)
1. That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100</u> (A/B)
2. Prevalence Index worksheet:
3
$\underline{0}$ OBL species $\underline{0}$ x 1 = $\underline{0}$
FACW species $\underline{0}$ x 2 = $\underline{0}$
Total Cover =0 FAC species $55 \times 3 = 165$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 1 meter.) FACU species $20 \times 4 = 80$
$\frac{1}{25} \text{Yes} \text{FAC} \text{UPL species} \underline{0} \text{x 5} = \underline{0}$
2 Schedonorus arundinaceus 20 Ves EAC Column Totals: 75 (A) 275 (B)
3 Anthovanthum odoratum 20 Yes FACI
5. Holding langtus 10 No FAC Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.26
7 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 – Dominance Test >50%
$3 - Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^1$
4 - Morphological Adaptions ¹ (Provide supporting
11. data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1 otal Cover = <u>75</u> 5 – Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹
Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 1 meter)
1. must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.
Total Cover =0
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 25 Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes
Remarks:

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Mat	rix		<u>Redox F</u>	-eatures					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks	
(inches)										
<u>0-16</u>	<u>10YR 3/2</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>			<u>Silt Loam</u>			
¹ Type: C	Concentration, D	=Depletior	n, RM=Reduced Ma	atrix, CS=0	Covered o	r Coated S	and Grains. ²	Location: P	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :						rs for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :				
Histo	sol (A1)		Sandy Redox (S5)					2 cr	m Muck (A10)	
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		Str	Stripped Matrix (S6)				Red Parent Material (TF2)		
Black	Histic (A3)		Lo	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)				Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)		
Hydr	ogen Sulfide (A4)		Lo	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				Other (Explain in Remarks)		
Deple	eted Below Dark S	urface (A1)Depleted Matrix (F3)							
Thick	Dark Surface (A12	2)	Re	Redox Dark Surface (F6)				³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and		
Sand	/ Mucky Mineral (S1)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)					wetland hydrology must be present, unless		
Sand	y Gleyed Matrix (S	4)	Re	Redox Depressions (F8)				disturb	ed or problematic.	
Restrictiv	e Layer (if preser	it):								
Type:										
Depth (in	ches): <u>0</u>							Hydric	Soil Present? <u>No</u>	
Remarks										

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Water-Stained Leaves (B9)(MLRA 1,2,4A,4B)
High Water Table (A2)	(except MLRA 1,2,4A, and 4B)	Ì	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Salt Crust (B11)	Ì	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Ì	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living	g Roots (C3)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Ì	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi	is (C6)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6)(LRR A)
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)(LR	RA)	Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Ì	
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		Ì	
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? No	Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):		
(includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hyd	rology Present? <u>No</u>	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	oring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if availa	ble:
Remarks:			

Project/Site La Center School District/NE Lockwood Creek Rd City/Co	ounty: La Center/Clark Sampling Date: 09/20/2018
Applicant/Owner: La Center School District State: WA	Sampling Point: <u>6</u>
Investigator(s): Kevin Grosz, Ryan Thiele Section, Townshi	ρ, Range: <u>02, T4N, R1E</u>
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): <u>hillslope</u> Local relief (conc	ave, convex, none): <u>none</u> Slope (%): <u>0-8%</u>
Subregion (LRR): Northwest Forests & Coast (LRR A) Lat: 45	<u>.86016740</u> Long: <u>-122.65118000</u> Datum: <u>WGS84</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: Gee silt loam NWI classification: N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Y	es(if no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic?	(if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	
Hydric Soil Present? Yes	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes	
Remarks:	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 meter</u>)	Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A)
2.				
3.				Total Number of Dominant
4.				Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
Total Cover = <u>0</u>				
Sanling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter)				Percent of Dominant Species
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100</u> (A/B)
2				Prevalence Index worksheet:
2.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
3.				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
- 4. E				FACW species $15 \times 2 = 30$
D. Tatal Cause 0				FAC species $68 \times 3 = 204$
Iotal Cover = <u>U</u>				FACU species $0 \times 4 = 0$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>1 meter</u>)				UPI species $0 \times 5 = 0$
1. <u>Agrostis capillaris</u>	<u>60</u>	Yes	FAC	Column Totals: 83 (A) 234 (B)
2. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>15</u>	No	FACW	
3. <u>Lupinus polyphyllus</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.82$
4. <u>Holcus lanatus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	$\frac{1}{2.02}$
5.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6.				1 - Papid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
7.				I = Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8.				$\frac{\Lambda}{2} = Dominance rest > 50\%$
9.				\underline{X} 3 - Prevalence muex is $\leq 3.0^{4}$
10.				4 - Morphological Adaptions ² (Provide supporting
11.				Gata in Remarks of on a separate sneet)
Total Cover = <u>81</u>				5 - Wetialiu Noll-Vasculai Plains ⁻
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 1 meter)				Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology
1 Rubus armeniacus	2	Vec	FAC	must be present unless disturbed or problematic
2	<u> </u>	105	IAC	must be present, unless disturbed of problematie.
Z. Total Cover = 2				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: <u>19</u>				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes
Remarks:	1	1	I	······································

Wetlands data compiled using Electronic Data Solutions' Everglade™ wetland delineation software.

	Mat	rix	•	Redox F	eatures				-
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks
(inches)									
<u>0-12</u>	<u>10YR 3/2</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>7.5YR 4/4</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>C</u>	M	<u>Silt Loam</u>		
<u>12-16</u>	<u>10YR 3/1</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>7.5YR 4/4</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>C</u>	M	<u>Silt Loam</u>		
¹ Type: C=	Concentration, D	=Depletion	n, RM=Reduced M	atrix, CS=0	Covered or	Coated S	and Grains. ²	Location: Pl	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :						rs for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :			
Histos	Histosol (A1)Sandy Redox (S5)							2 cr	n Muck (A10)
Histic	Histic Epipedon (A2)Stripped Matrix (S6)					Rec	Red Parent Material (TF2)		
Black	Black Histic (A3)Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)				ept MLRA 1)	Ve	ry Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)		
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)					Oth	er (Explain in Remarks)			
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)Depleted Matrix (F3)									
Thick Dark Surface (A12) X Redox Dark Surface (F6)					³ Indicat	ors of hydrophytic vegetation and			
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)Depleted Dark Surface (F7)					wetland	d hydrology must be present, unless			
Sandy	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)Redox Depressions (F8)					disturb	ed or problematic.		
Restrictive Laver (if present):									
Type:	/ - ()	-							
Depth (inches): <u>0</u>						Hydric	Soil Present? <u>Yes</u>		
Remarks:									

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	Secondary Indicators (two or more required)		
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Water-Stained Leaves (B9)(MLRA 1,2,4A,4B)
High Water Table (A2)	(except MLRA 1,2,4A, and 4B)		<u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Salt Crust (B11)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		<u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living	g Roots (C3)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi	ls (C6)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6)(LRR A)
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)(LR	RA)	Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? No	Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):		
(includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hyd	rology Present? <u>Yes</u>	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monited	oring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if availa	ble:
Remarks:			

Project/Site: La Center School District/NE Lockwood Creek Rd City/Co	ounty: La Center/Clark Sampling Date: 09/20/2018
Applicant/Owner: La Center School District State: WA	Sampling Point: <u>7</u>
Investigator(s): Kevin Grosz, Ryan Thiele Section, Township, Range: 0	<u>2, T4N, R1E</u>
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex	a, none): <u>convex</u> Slope (%): <u>0-8%</u>
Subregion (LRR): Northwest Forests & Coast (LRR A) Lat: 45.8	36012280 Long: -122.65110680 Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Gee silt loam NWI classification: N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Year	<u>s</u> (if no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic?	(if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present	? <u>No</u>	
Hydric Soil Present?	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? <u>No</u>
Remarks:		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>10 meter</u>)	Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A)
2.				
3.				Total Number of Dominant
4.				Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
Total Cover = <u>0</u>				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 meter)				Percent of Dominant Species
1.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>66</u> (A/B)
2.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
3				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
4				OBL species $\underline{0}$ x 1 = $\underline{0}$
5				FACW species $\underline{10}$ x 2 = $\underline{20}$
Total Cover = 0				FAC species $\underline{60}$ x 3 = $\underline{180}$
Herh Stratum (Plot size: 1 meter)				FACU species <u>20</u> x 4 = <u>80</u>
1 Phalaris arundinacea	10	No	FACW	UPL species $\underline{0}$ x 5 = $\underline{0}$
2 Agrostis canillaris	40	Ves	FAC	Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A) <u>280</u> (B)
3 Anthoxanthum odoratum	20	Ves	FACU	
A Schedonorus arundinaceus	20	Ves	FAC	Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.11$
5	20	165	IAC	
5.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				X 2 – Dominance Test >50%
o. 0				3 - Prevalence Index is $≤ 3.0^1$
5. 10				4 - Morphological Adaptions ¹ (Provide supporting
10.				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
II. Tetal Cover - 00				5 – Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹
Total Cover = $\underline{90}$				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>10 meter</u>)				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology
1.				must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.				
Total Cover = <u>0</u>				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: <u>10</u>				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No
Remarks:	-		•	• • • •

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Mat	rix	•	<u>Redox F</u>	eatures				-
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks
(inches)									
<u>0-16</u>	<u>10YR 3/2</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>			<u>Silt Loam</u>		
¹ Type: C=	Concentration, D	=Depletior	n, RM=Reduced Ma	atrix, CS=0	Covered or	Coated S	and Grains. ² L	ocation: P	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix
Hydric So	il Indicators: (Ap	plicable to	all LRRs, unless ot	herwise r	oted.)			Indicato	rs for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histos	istosol (A1)Sandy Redox (S5)				2 cm Muck (A10)				
Histic	Histic Epipedon (A2)Stripped Matrix (S6)					Red Parent Material (TF2)			
Black	Black Histic (A3)Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)				ept MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				Oth	ner (Explain in Remarks)				
Deple	ted Below Dark S	urface (A1	1)De	pleted M	atrix (F3)				
Thick	Dark Surface (A12	2)	Re	dox Dark	Surface (F	6)		³ Indicat	tors of hydrophytic vegetation and
<u> S</u> andy	v Mucky Mineral (S1)	De	pleted Da	irk Surface	(F7)		wetlan	d hydrology must be present, unless
<u> S</u> andy	Gleyed Matrix (S	54)	Re	dox Depr	essions (F8	3)		disturb	ed or problematic.
Restrictiv	e Layer (if presen	nt):							
Type:									
Depth (in	ches): <u>0</u>							Hydric	Soil Present? <u>No</u>
Remarks:									

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	Secondary Indicators (two or more required)		
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Water-Stained Leaves (B9)(MLRA 1,2,4A,4B)
High Water Table (A2)	(except MLRA 1,2,4A, and 4B)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Salt Crust (B11)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Geomorphic Position (D2)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living	g Roots (C3)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi	ls (C6)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6)(LRR A)
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)(LRR A)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present? <u>No</u>	Depth (inches):		
(includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hyd	rology Present? <u>No</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor	oring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if availa	ble:
Remarks:			

APPENDIX B

WETLAND RATING FORMS FOR WESTERN WASHINGTON & FIGURES

RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #):NE Lockwood Creek Rd. - Wetland D-NDate of site visit: 9/20/18Rated byAlex ShermanTrained by Ecology?YesNo Date of training

HGM Class used for rating Slope Wetland has multiple HGM classes?___Y ___ N

NOTE: Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined). Source of base aerial photo/map <u>ArcGIS World Imagery Basemap</u>

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY (based on functions <u>×</u> or special characteristics)

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

____Category I – Total score = 23 - 27

____Category II – Total score = 20 - 22

Category III – Total score = 16 - 19

Category IV – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality		Hydrologic		Habitat					
					Circle	e the ap	prop	riate r	atings	
Site Potential	Н	М	L	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	
Landscape Potential	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	Ŀ	H	Μ	L	
Value	H	Μ	L	Н	Μ	Ŀ	Н	Μ	L	тот
Score Based on Ratings		6			3			5		14

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important)

9 = H,H,H 8 = H,H,M 7 = H,H,L 7 = H,M,M 6 = H,M,L 6 = M,M,M 5 = H,L,L 5 = M,M,L 4 = M,L,L

3 = L,L,L

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY			
Estuarine	I II			
Wetland of High Conservation Value	Ι			
Bog	I			
Mature Forest	I			
Old Growth Forest	I			
Coastal Lagoon	Ι	II		
Interdunal	I II	III IV		
None of the above	Not A	Applicable		

Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	D 2.2, D 5.2	
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	Н 2.1, Н 2.2, Н 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	Н 2.1, Н 2.2, Н 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #	
Cowardin plant classes	Н 1.1, Н 1.4	B1	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	B2	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	B3	
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 4.1	B3	
(can be added to figure above)		DD	
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	B2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	R/	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		D4	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	B8	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	B7	

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update Rating Form – Effective January 1, 2015
HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

NO - go to 2

YES – the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe** – go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

NO – Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine) If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is an **Estuarine** wetland and is not scored. This method **cannot** be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

NO - go to 3**YES** – The wetland class is **Flats** If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.

3. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria? ____The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size; ____At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).

NO - go to 4

YES – The wetland class is **Lake Fringe** (Lacustrine Fringe)

- 4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?

 - <u>×</u> The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*), ×___ The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks,
 - <u>x</u> The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.

NO - go to 5

YES – The wetland class is **Slope**

NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

- 5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
 - The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river.
 - ____The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

YES - Freshwater Tidal Fringe

Wetland name or number _D-N_

SLOPE WETLANDS Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality	
S 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?	
S 1.1. Characteristics of the average slope of the wetland: (a 1% slope has a 1 ft vertical drop in elevation for every 100 ft of horizontal distance)	
Slope is 1% or less points = 3	
Slope is > 1%-2% points = 2	
Slope is > 2%-5% points = 1	2
Slope is greater than 5% points = 0	3
S 1.2. The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions): Yes = 3 No = 0	0
S 1.3. Characteristics of the plants in the wetland that trap sediments and pollutants: Choose the points appropriate for the description that best fits the plants in the wetland. <i>Dense means you</i> <i>have trouble seeing the soil surface (>75% cover), and uncut means not grazed or mowed and plants are higher</i> <i>than 6 in.</i>	
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > 90% of the wetland area points = 6	
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > ½ of area points = 3	
Dense, woody, plants > ½ of area points = 2	
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > ¼ of area points = 1	0
Does not meet any of the criteria above for plants points = 0	0
Total for S 1Add the points in the boxes above	3
Rating of Site Potential If score is: $12 = H$ $6-11 = M$ X $0-5 = L$ Record the rating on the first page	
S 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	

S 2.1. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft on the uphill side of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants?	
Yes = 1 No = 0	1
S 2.2. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in question S 2.1?	
Other sources Yes = 1 No = 0	1
Total for S 2Add the points in the boxes above	2

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: <u>x</u> 1-2 = M ____0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

S 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
S 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
S 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where water quality is an issue? At least one aquatic resource in the basin is on the 303(d) list. Yes = 1 No = 0	1
S 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality? Answer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which unit is found. Yes = 2 No = 0	2
Total for S 3Add the points in the boxes above	4

Rating of Value If score is: X 2-4 = H 1 = M 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

Wetland name or number _^{D-N} __

<u>SLOPE WETLANDS</u>	
Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream eros	sion
S 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and stream erosion?	-
S 4.1. Characteristics of plants that reduce the velocity of surface flows during storms: Choose the points appropriate for the description that best fits conditions in the wetland. Stems of plants should be thick enough (usually > $^{1}/_{8}$ in), or dense enough, to remain erect during surface flows.	
Dense, uncut, rigid plants cover > 90% of the area of the wetland points = 1	0
All other conditions points = 0	•
Rating of Site Potential If score is: $1 = M \times 0 = L$ Record the rating on	the first page

S 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the hydrologic functions of	of the site?	
S 5.1. Is more than 25% of the area within 150 ft upslope of wetland in land uses or cover	that generate excess	
surface runoff?	Yes = 1 No = 0	

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: <u>1 = M X</u> 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

S 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?	
S 6.1. Distance to the nearest areas downstream that have flooding problems:	
The sub-basin immediately down-gradient of site has flooding problems that result in damage to human or	
natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds) points = 2	
Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient points = 1	0
No flooding problems anywhere downstream points = 0	
S 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan?	
Yes = 2 No = 0	
Total for S 6Add the points in the boxes above	0

Rating of Value If score is: 2-4 = H 1 = M X 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

NOTES and FIELD OBSERVATIONS:

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.	
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat	
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?	-
H 1.1. Structure of plant community: Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.	
Aquatic bed 4 structures or more: points = 4	
<u>x</u> Emergent 3 structures: points = 2	
Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) 2 structures: points = 1	
Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover) 1 structure: points = 0	
If the unit has a Forested class, check if: The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover) that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon	0
H 1.2. Hydroperiods	
Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (<i>see text for descriptions of hydroperiods</i>).	
Permanently flooded or inundated 4 or more types present: points = 3	
<u>x</u> Seasonally flooded or inundated 3 types present: points = 2	
Occasionally flooded or inundated 2 types present: points = 1	
Saturated only 1 type present: points = 0	
Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland	
Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland	
Lake Fringe wetland 2 points	0
	Ū
H 1.3. Richness of plant species	
Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft ² .	
Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle	
If you counted: > 19 species points = 2	
5 - 19 species points = 1	0
< 5 species points = 0	
H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.	
None = 0 pointsLow = 1 pointModerate = 2 points	
All three diagrams in this row are HIGH = 3points	0

Wetland name or number _^{D-N} __

H 1.5. Special habitat features:	
Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points.	
Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).	
Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland	
Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) and/or overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)	
Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed)	
X At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (structures for egg-laying by amphibians)	
Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (<i>see H 1.1 for list of strata</i>)	1
Total for H 1Add the points in the boxes above	1

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ___15-18 = H ___7-14 = M __X _0-6 = L

Record the rating on the first page

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?	
H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit).Calculate:% undisturbed habitat $13 + [(\% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2] 13 =6 %$	T
If total accessible habitat is:	
> ¹ / ₃ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3	
20-33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2	
10-19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1	2
< 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0	
H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.	
<i>Calculate:</i> % undisturbed habitat <u>27</u> + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2] <u>24</u> =%	
Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3	
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches points = 2	
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches points = 1	3
Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0	
H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If	
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (- 2)	0
≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity points = 0	
Total for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above	5
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: X 4-6 = H 1-3 = M < 1 = L Record the rating on	the first page

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?		
H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? Choose only the his	ighest score	
that applies to the wetland being rated.		
Site meets ANY of the following criteria:	points = 2	
 It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page) 		
— It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or	federal lists)	
 It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species 		
 It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resou 	rces	
— It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan,	in a	
Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan		
Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m	points = 1	0
Site does not meet any of the criteria above	points = 0	
Rating of ValueIf score is:2 = H1 = Mx0 = LRecord	d the rating on	the first page

WDFW Priority Habitats

<u>Priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf</u> or access the list from here: <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/</u>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: **NOTE:** This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors**: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- Old-growth/Mature forests: <u>Old-growth west of Cascade crest</u> Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. <u>Mature forests</u> Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- Oregon White Oak: Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 see web link above*).
- **Riparian**: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 see web link above*).
- **Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (*full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page*).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update Rating Form – Effective January 1, 2015

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Wetland Type	Category
Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate criteria are met.	
SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands? — The dominant water regime is tidal, — Vegetated, and	
— With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt Yes –Go to SC 1.1 No= Not an estuarine wetland	
SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? Yes = Category I No - Go to SC 1.2	Cat. I
 SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions? — The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. (If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see page 25) — At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- 	Cat. I
mowed grassland. — The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands. Yes = Category I No = Category II	Cat. II
SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV) SC 2.1. Has the WA Department of Natural Resources updated their website to include the list of Wetlands of High Conservation Value? Yes – Go to SC 2.2 No – Go to SC 2.3 SC 2.2. Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value? Yes = Category I Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV	Cat. I
SC 2.3. Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland? <u>http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/datasearch/wnhpwetlands.pdf</u>	
Yes – Contact WNHP/WDNR and go to SC 2.4 No = Not a WHCV SC 2.4. Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value and listed it on their website? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV	
 SC 3.0. Bogs Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions. SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No – Go to SC 3.2 SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in deep over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or pond? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No = Is not a bog 	
 SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30% cover of plant species listed in Table 4? Yes = Is a Category I bog No – Go to SC 3.4 NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog. SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy? Yes = Is a Category I bog No = Is not a bog 	Cat. I

SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands	
Does the wetland have at least <u>1 contiguous acre</u> of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA	
Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as priority habitats? If you answer YES you will still need to rate	
the wetland based on its functions.	
- Old-growth forests (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered	
canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/na) that are at least 200 years of	
age UK have a uldificter at preast field (upp) of 52 in (51 cm) of more.	
species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm).	
Yes = Category I No = Not a forested wetland for this section	Cat. I
SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons	
Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?	
— The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from	
marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks	
— The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains ponded water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt)	
during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (needs to be measured near the bottom)	Cat. I
Yes – Go to SC 5.1 No = Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon	
SC 5.1. Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?	
— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less	Cat. II
than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species on p. 100).	
mowed grassland	
— The wetland is larger than $^{1}/_{10}$ ac (4350 ft ²)	
Yes = Category I No = Category II	
SC 6 0. Interdunal Watlands	
Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)? If	
you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its habitat functions.	
In practical terms that means the following geographic areas:	
 Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103 	
 Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105 	Cat I
 Ocean Shores-Copalis: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 	
Yes – Go to SC 6.1 No = not an interdunal wetland for rating	
SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the habitat functions on the form (rates H.H.H or H.H.M	Cat. II
for the three aspects of function)? Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 6.2	
SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger?	
Yes = Category II No – Go to SC 6.3	Cat. III
SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac?	
Yes = Category III No = Category IV	Cat IV
Osterson of wetland have done tight the materiation	cat. IV
Lategory of Wetland based on Special Characteristics	NA
I II VUU AIISWETEU NUTUTAITEVNES. EITET INULANNE UT SUITITIATVI UTTI	

RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #):<u>NE Lockwood Creek Rd/North - Wetland E-N</u>Date of site visit: <u>9/20/18</u> Rated by <u>Alex Sherman</u> Trained by Ecology? <u>X</u> Yes <u>No Date of training</u> <u>9/18/1</u>7

HGM Class used for rating Slope Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y X N

NOTE: Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined). Source of base aerial photo/map _____ArcGIS World Imagery _____

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY <u>IV</u> (based on functions <u>X</u> or special characteristics)

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

Category I – Total score = 23 - 27

- Category II Total score = 20 22
- Category III Total score = 16 19
- X Category IV Total score = 9 15

FUNCTION	l Wa	mpro ater C	ving Juality	Н	lydro	logic		Habi	tat	
					Circle	e the ap	prop	riate r	ratings	
Site Potential	Н	М	L	Н	М	Ŀ	Н	М	L	
Landscape Potential	Н	M	L	Н	Μ	L	Н	M	L	
Value	H	Μ	L	Н	Μ	Ŀ	Н	М	Ŀ	TOTAL
Score Based on Ratings		6			3			4		13

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important) 9 = H,H,H 8 = H,H,M 7 = H,H,L

7 = H,M,M 6 = H,M,L 6 = M,M,M 5 = H,L,L

5 = M,M,L 4 = M,L,L

$4 = 1 v_{1,L,L}$ 3 = L,L,L

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY	
Estuarine	Ι	II
Wetland of High Conservation Value	I	
Bog	Ι	
Mature Forest	I	
Old Growth Forest	I	
Coastal Lagoon	Ι	II
Interdunal	III	III IV
None of the above	Not A	pplicable

Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	D 2.2, D 5.2	
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	Н 2.1, Н 2.2, Н 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	Н 2.1, Н 2.2, Н 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	Н 2.1, Н 2.2, Н 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	B1
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	B2
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	B3
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 4.1	B3
(can be added to figure above)		
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	B1
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	B5
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	B8
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	B7

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update Rating Form – Effective January 1, 2015

HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

NO - go to 2

YES – the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe** – go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

NO – Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine) If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is an **Estuarine** wetland and is not scored. This method **cannot** be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

NO - go to 3**YES** – The wetland class is **Flats** If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.

3. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria? ____The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size; ____At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).

NO - go to 4

YES – The wetland class is **Lake Fringe** (Lacustrine Fringe)

- 4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
 - ***** The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*),
 - * The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks,
 - The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.

NO - go to 5

YES – The wetland class is **Slope**

NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

- 5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
 - The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river.
 - ____The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

YES – Freshwater Tidal Fringe

SLOPE WETLANDS		
Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality		
S 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?		
S 1.1. Characteristics of the average slope of the wetland: (a 1% slope has a 1 ft vertical drop in elevation for every 100 ft of horizontal distance)		
Slope is 1% or less points = 3		
Slope is > 1%-2% points = 2		
Slope is > 2%-5% points = 1	3	
Slope is greater than 5% points = 0	5	
S 1.2. The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions): Yes = 3 No = 0		
S 1.3. Characteristics of the plants in the wetland that trap sediments and pollutants:		
Choose the points appropriate for the description that best fits the plants in the wetland. Dense means you		
have trouble seeing the soil surface (>75% cover), and uncut means not grazed or mowed and plants are higher than 6 in.		
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > 90% of the wetland area points = 6		
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > ½ of area points = 3		
Dense, woody, plants > ½ of area points = 2		
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > ¼ of area points = 1		
Does not meet any of the criteria above for plants points = 0	0	
Total for S 1Add the points in the boxes above	3	
Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12 = H 6-11 = M X 0-5 = L Record the rating on the first page		
5.2.0 Deep the landscape bays the notantial to support the water quality function of the site?	-	

5 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	
S 2.1. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft on the uphill side of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants?	
Yes = 1 No = 0	-
S 2.2. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in question S 2.1?	1
Other sources oil, heavy metals Yes = 1 No = 0	_
Total for S 2Add the points in the boxes above	2

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: X 1-2 = M ____0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

S 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
S 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
S 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where water quality is an issue? At least one aquatic resource in the basin is on the 303(d) list. Yes = 1 No = 0	1
S 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality? Answer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which unit is found. Yes = 2 No = 0	2
Total for S 3Add the points in the boxes above	4

Rating of Value If score is: <u>X</u> **2**-**4** = **H 1** = **M 0** = **L**

Record the rating on the first page

SLOPE WETLANDS	
Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream en	osion
S 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and stream erosion?	
S 4.1. Characteristics of plants that reduce the velocity of surface flows during storms: Choose the points appropriate for the description that best fits conditions in the wetland. Stems of plants should be thick enough (usually > ¹ / in), or dense enough, to remain erect during surface flows.	3
Dense, uncut, rigid plants cover > 90% of the area of the wetland points = 1	0
All other conditions points = 0	
Rating of Site Potential If score is: $1 = M \times 0 = L$ Record the rating	on the first page

S 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the hydrologic functions of the site?			
S 5.1. Is more than 25% of the area within 150 ft upslope of wetland in land uses or cov surface runoff?	ver that generate excess Yes = 1 No = 0	1	
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: X 1 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first potential		the first page	

S 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society? S 6.1. Distance to the nearest areas downstream that have flooding problems: The sub-basin immediately down-gradient of site has flooding problems that result in damage to human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds) points = 20 Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient points = 1 No flooding problems anywhere downstream points = 0 S 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? 0 Yes = 2 No = 0Total for S 6 Add the points in the boxes above 0

Rating of Value If score is: ___2-4 = H ___1 = M __X_0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

NOTES and FIELD OBSERVATIONS:

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.	
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat	
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?	-
H 1.1. Structure of plant community: <i>Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class.</i> Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. <i>Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.</i>	
Aquatic bed 4 structures or more: points = 4	
<u>X</u> Emergent 3 structures: points = 2	
<u>x</u> Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) 2 structures: points = 1	
Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover) 1 structure: points = 0	
If the unit has a Forested class, check if: The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover) that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon	1
H 1.2. Hydroperiods	
Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (<i>see text for descriptions of hydroperiods</i>).	
Permanently flooded or inundated 4 or more types present: points = 3	
<u>X</u> Seasonally flooded or inundated 3 types present: points = 2	
Occasionally flooded or inundated 2 types present: points = 1	
Saturated only 1 type present: points = 0	
Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland	
Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland	
Lake Fringe wetland 2 points	0
Freshwater tidal wetland 2 points	
H 1.3. Richness of plant species	
Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft ² .	
Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name	
the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle	
If you counted: > 19 species points = 2	
5 - 19 species points = 1	1
< 5 species points = 0	
H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats	
Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. <i>If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.</i>	
None = 0 pointsLow = 1 pointModerate = 2 points	
All three diagrams in this row are HIGH = 3points	1

H 1.5. Special habitat features:	
Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points.	
Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).	
Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland	
Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) and/or overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)	
Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed)	
At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated <i>(structures for egg-laying by amphibians)</i>	
<u>x</u> Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (see H 1.1 for list of strata)	1
Total for H 1Add the points in the boxes above	4

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ___15-18 = H ___7-14 = M ___X __0-6 = L

Record the rating on the first page

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?		
H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit).		
Calculate: % undisturbed habitat <u>12</u> + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2] <u>13</u>	_=%	
If total accessible habitat is:		
> ¹ / ₃ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon	points = 3	
20-33% of 1 km Polygon	points = 2	2
10-19% of 1 km Polygon	points = 1	2
< 10% of 1 km Polygon	points = 0	
H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.	50	
Calculate: % undisturbed habitat 25 + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]25	_=%	
Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon	points = 3	
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches	points = 2	
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches	points = 1	1
Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon	points = 0	1
H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If		
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use	points = (- 2)	0
≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity	points = 0	,
Total for H 2 Add the points in the	boxes above	3
		<i>.</i>

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: _____4-6 = H _____1-3 = M ____<1 = L

Record the rating on the first page

-1

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?		
H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? Choose on	ly the highest score	
that applies to the wetland being rated.		
Site meets ANY of the following criteria:	points = 2	
 It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page) 		
— It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the s	tate or federal lists)	
 It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species 		
 It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natura 	al Resources	
— It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive	ve plan, in a	
Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan		
Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m	points = 1	0
Site does not meet any of the criteria above	points = 0	5
Rating of Value If score is: 2 = H 1 = M × 0 = L	Record the rating on	the first page

WDFW Priority Habitats

<u>Priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf</u> or access the list from here: <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/</u>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: **NOTE:** This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors**: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- Old-growth/Mature forests: <u>Old-growth west of Cascade crest</u> Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. <u>Mature forests</u> Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- **Oregon White Oak:** Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 see web link above*).
- **Riparian**: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 see web link above*).
- **Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (*full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page*).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update Rating Form – Effective January 1, 2015

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Wetland Type	Category
Charle off any arithmic that analy to the water of Circle the antenany when the analysis is a vitaria and mot	
Check off any chiena that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate chiena are met.	
Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands?	
The dominant water regime is tidal	
- The dominant water regime is tidal,	
With a calinity greater than 0 E ant	
- With a samily greater than 0.5 ppt fes = 60 to 5C 1.1 No- Not an estuarme wetland	
SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area	
Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151?	Cat. I
Yes = Category I No - Go to SC 1.2	
SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?	
— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less	Cat
than 10% cover of non-native plant species. (If non-native species are Spartina, see page 25)	Cat. I
— At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-	
mowed grassland.	Cat. II
— The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or	Cutin
contiguous freshwater wetlands. Yes = Category I No = Category II	
SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)	
SC 2.1. Has the WA Department of Natural Resources undated their website to include the list of Wetlands of High	
Conservation Value? Yes – Go to SC 2.2 No – Go to SC 2.3	Cat. I
SC 2.2. Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value?	
Yes = Category INo = Not a WHCV	
SC 2.3. Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland?	
http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/datasearch/wnhpwetlands.pdf	
Yes – Contact WNHP/WDNR and go to SC 2.4 No = Not a WHCV	
SC 2.4. Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value and listed it on	
their website? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV	
SC 3.0. Bogs	
Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key	
below. If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.	
SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or	
more of the first 32 in of the soil profile? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No – Go to SC 3.2	
SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in deep	
over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or	
pond? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No = Is not a bog	
SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30%	
cover of plant species listed in Table 4? Yes = Is a Category I bog No – Go to SC 3.4	
NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by	
measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the	Cat I
plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog.	
SC 5.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar,	
western nemious, jougepoie pine, quaking aspen, crigernann spruce, or western while pine, AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the source under the same way	
Vec - Is a Category I had - Is not a hog	

SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands	
Does the wetland have at least <u>1 contiguous acre</u> of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as priority habitats? <i>If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i>	
 Old-growth forests (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) that are at least 200 years of age OR have a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 32 in (81 cm) or more. Mature forests (west of the Cascade Crest): Stands where the largest trees are 80- 200 years old OR the 	
species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm).	
Yes = Category I No = Not a forested wetland for this section	Cat. I
SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons	
Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon? — The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from	
marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks	
— The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains ponded water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt)	
during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (needs to be measured near the bottom)	Cat. I
Yes – Go to SC 5.1 No = Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon SC 5.1 Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?	
— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less	
than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species on p. 100).	Cat. II
— At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-	
mowed grassland.	
— The wetland is larger than 7_{10} ac (4350 ft ⁻)	
SC 6.0. Interdunal Wetlands	
vou answer ves vou will still need to rate the wetland based on its habitat functions.	
In practical terms that means the following geographic areas:	
 Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103 	
 — Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105 	Cat I
 Ocean Shores-Copalis: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 	
Yes – Go to SC 6.1 No = not an interdunal wetland for rating	
SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the habitat functions on the form (rates H,H,H or H,H,M for the three aspects of function)? Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 6.2	Cat. II
SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger?	Cat. III
SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac?	
Yes = Category III No = Category IV	Cat IV
Catagory of watland based on Special Characteristics	
If you answered No for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form	NA

RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #): <u>NE Lockwood Creek Rd/North - Wetland F-N</u> Date of site visit: <u>9/20/18</u> Rated by <u>Alex Sherman</u> Trained by Ecology?<u>×</u> Yes <u>No Date of training 9/18/17</u>

HGM Class used for rating Slope Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y × N

NOTE: Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined). Source of base aerial photo/map _____ArcMap World Imagery _____

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY (based on functions X or special characteristics)

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

____Category I – Total score = 23 - 27

- ____Category II Total score = 20 22
- **Category III** Total score = 16 19
- **Category IV** Total score = 9 15

FUNCTION	l Wa	mpro ater C	ving Quality	H	ydro	logic		Habi	tat	
					Circle	e the ap	prop	riate i	ratings	
Site Potential	Н	М	L	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	
Landscape Potential	Н	M	L	н	M	L	Н	M	L	
Value	H	Μ	L	н	Μ	Ŀ	Н	Μ	Ē	TOTAL
Score Based on Ratings		6			4			4		14

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important) 9 = H,H,H 8 = H,H,M

7 = H,H,L 7 = H,M,M 6 = H,M,L 6 = M,M,M 5 = H,L,L 5 = M,M,L4 = M,L,L

3 = L,L,L

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY		
Estuarine	I II		
Wetland of High Conservation Value	I		
Bog	I		
Mature Forest	I		
Old Growth Forest	I		
Coastal Lagoon	Ι	II	
Interdunal	I II	III IV	
None of the above	Not Applicable		

Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	D 2.2, D 5.2	
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	Н 2.1, Н 2.2, Н 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	Н 1.1, Н 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	Н 2.1, Н 2.2, Н 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	B1
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	BZ
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	B3
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 4.1	B3
(can be added to figure above)		
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	B1
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	B6
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	88
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	\$ 3.3	B7

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update Rating Form – Effective January 1, 2015

HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

NO - go to 2

YES – the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe** – go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

NO – Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine) If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is an **Estuarine** wetland and is not scored. This method **cannot** be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

NO - go to 3**YES** – The wetland class is **Flats** If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.

3. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria? ____The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size; ____At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).

NO - go to 4

YES – The wetland class is **Lake Fringe** (Lacustrine Fringe)

- 4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
 - <u>×</u> The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*).
 - × The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks,
 - The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.

NO - go to 5

YES – The wetland class is **Slope**

NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

- 5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
 - The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river.
 - ____The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

YES – Freshwater Tidal Fringe

SLOPE WETLANDS Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality	
S 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?	
S 1.1. Characteristics of the average slope of the wetland: (a 1% slope has a 1 ft vertical drop in elevation for every 100 ft of horizontal distance)	
Slope is 1% or less points = 3	
Slope is > 1%-2% points = 2	
Slope is > 2%-5% points = 1	3
Slope is greater than 5% points = 0	
S 1.2. The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions): Yes = 3 No = 0	0
S 1.3. Characteristics of the plants in the wetland that trap sediments and pollutants:	
Choose the points appropriate for the description that best fits the plants in the wetland. Dense means you have trouble seeing the soil surface (>75% cover), and uncut means not grazed or mowed and plants are higher than 6 in.	
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > 90% of the wetland area points = 6	
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > ½ of area points = 3	
Dense, woody, plants > ½ of area points = 2	
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > ¼ of area points = 1	1
Does not meet any of the criteria above for plants points = 0	
Total for S 1Add the points in the boxes above	4
Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12 = H 6-11 = M X 0-5 = L Record the rating on	the first page
S 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	-

S 2.1. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft on the uphill side of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants?	1	
Yes = 1 No = 0	Ŧ	
S 2.2. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in question S 2.1?		
Other sources oil, heavy metals Yes = 1 No = 0	_	
Total for S 2Add the points in the boxes above	2	

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: X 1-2 = M ____0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

S 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
S 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
S 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where water quality is an issue? At least one aquatic resource in the basin is on the 303(d) list. Yes = 1 No = 0	1
S 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality? Answer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which unit is found. Yes = 2 No = 0	2
Total for S 3Add the points in the boxes above	4

Rating of Value If score is: X 2-4 = H 1 = M 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

<u>SLOPE WETLANDS</u>					
Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream erosion					
S 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and stream erosion?	-				
S 4.1. Characteristics of plants that reduce the velocity of surface flows during storms: Choose the points appropriate for the description that best fits conditions in the wetland. Stems of plants should be thick enough (usually > $1/8$ in), or dense enough, to remain erect during surface flows.					
Dense, uncut, rigid plants cover > 90% of the area of the wetland points = 1	0				
All other conditions points = 0					
Rating of Site Potential If score is: 1 = M X 0 = L Record the rating on	the first page				

S 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the hydrologic functions of the site?

S 5.1. Is more than 25% of the area within 150 ft upslope of wetland in land uses or cover that generate excess surface runoff? Yes = 1 No = 0

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: X 1 = M ____0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

1

S 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?					
S 6.1. Distance to the nearest areas downstream that have flooding problems:					
The sub-basin immediately down-gradient of site has flooding problems that result in damage to human or					
natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds) points = 2					
Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient points = 1					
No flooding problems anywhere downstream points = 0					
S 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan?					
Yes = 2 No = 0					
Total for S 6Add the points in the boxes above	0				

Rating of Value If score is: 2-4 = H 1 = M X 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

NOTES and FIELD OBSERVATIONS:

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.					
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat					
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?					
H 1.1. Structure of plant community: Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.					
Aquatic bed 4 structures or more: points = 4					
Emergent 3 structures: points = 2					
<u>x</u> Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) 2 structures: points = 1					
<u>x</u> Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover) 1 structure: points = 0					
If the unit has a Forested class, check if:					
The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover)	1				
that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon					
H 1.2. Hydroperiods Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (<i>see text for descriptions of hydroperiods</i>)Permanently flooded or inundated 4 or more types present: points = 3					
<u>x</u> Seasonally flooded or inundated 3 types present: points = 2					
Occasionally flooded or inundated 2 types present: points = 1					
Saturated only 1 type present: points = 0					
Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland					
Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland	0				
Lake Fringe wetland 2 points	0				
Freshwater tidal wetland 2 points					
H 1.3. Richness of plant species Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft ² . Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle If you counted: > 19 species points = 2 5 - 19 species points = 1 < 5 species	1				
H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. <i>If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.</i>					
None = 0 points Low = 1 point Moderate = 2 points					
in this row are HIGH = 3points	1				

H 1.5. Special habitat features:	
Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points.	
Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).	
Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland	
Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) and/or overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)	
Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed)	
At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated <i>(structures for egg-laying by amphibians)</i>	
_X_Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (see H 1.1 for list of strata)	1
Total for H 1Add the points in the boxes above	4

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ___15-18 = H ___7-14 = M ___0-6 = L

Record the rating on the first page

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the	site?			
H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit).				
<i>Calculate:</i> % undisturbed habitat <u>13</u> + [(% moderate and low intensity land u	ses)/2] <u>13_</u> = <u>26_</u> %			
If total accessible habitat is:				
> ¹ / ₃ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon	points = 3			
20-33% of 1 km Polygon	points = 2	2		
10-19% of 1 km Polygon	points = 1			
< 10% of 1 km Polygon	points = 0			
H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.				
Calculate: % undisturbed habitat <u>26</u> + [(% moderate and low intensity land u	ses)/2] <u>24</u> =50 _%			
Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon	points = 3			
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches	points = 2	1		
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches	points = 1			
Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon	points = 0			
H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If				
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use	points = (- 2)	Ū		
≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity	points = 0			
Total for H 2 Add the po	pints in the boxes above	3		
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is:4-6 = H1-3 = M<1 = L	Record the rating on th	ne first page		

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?		
H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? Choose on	ly the highest score	
that applies to the wetland being rated.		
Site meets ANY of the following criteria:	points = 2	
 It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page) 		
— It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the s	tate or federal lists)	
 It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species 		
 It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natura 	al Resources	
 It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive 	ve plan, in a	
Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan		
Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m	points = 1	0
Site does not meet any of the criteria above	points = 0	
Rating of Value If score is: 2 = H 1 = M x 0 = L	Record the rating on	the first page

WDFW Priority Habitats

<u>Priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf</u> or access the list from here: <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/</u>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: **NOTE:** This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors**: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- Old-growth/Mature forests: <u>Old-growth west of Cascade crest</u> Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. <u>Mature forests</u> Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- **Oregon White Oak:** Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 see web link above*).
- **Riparian**: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 see web link above*).
- **Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (*full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page*).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update Rating Form – Effective January 1, 2015

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Wetland Type	Category
Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate criteria are met.	
SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands	
Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands?	
— The dominant water regime is tidal,	
— Vegetated, and With a splinity greater than 0.5 ppt	
- With a sainity greater than 0.5 ppt Yes -Go to SC 1.1 No= Not an estuarine wetland	
SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151?	
Yes = Category I No - Go to SC 1.2	Cat. I
SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?	
— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less	Cat
than 10% cover of non-native plant species. (If non-native species are Spartina, see page 25)	Cat. I
— At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- mouved grassland	
The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or	Cat. II
contiguous freshwater wetlands. Yes = Category I No = Category II	
SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)	
SC 2.1. Has the WA Department of Natural Resources updated their website to include the list of Wetlands of High	
Conservation Value? Yes – Go to SC 2.2 No – Go to SC 2.3	Cat. I
SC 2.2. Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value?	
Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV	
SC 2.3. Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland?	
<u>http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nnp/refdesk/datasearcn/wnnpwetlands.pdf</u>	
SC 2.4. Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value and listed it on	
their website? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV	
SC 3.0. Bogs	
Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key	
below. If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.	
SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil norizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or	
SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in deep	
over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or	
pond? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No = Is not a bog	
SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30%	
cover of plant species listed in Table 4? Yes = Is a Category I bog No – Go to SC 3.4	
measuring the pH of the water that seens into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the	
plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog.	Cat. I
SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar,	
western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the	
species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy?	
Yes = Is a Category I bog No = Is not a bog	

SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands					
Does the wetland have at least <u>1 contiguous acre</u> of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as priority habitats? <i>If you answer YES you will still need to rate</i> <i>the wetland based on its functions.</i>					
— Old-growth forests (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) that are at least 200 years of age OR have a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 32 in (81 cm) or more.					
— Mature forests (west of the Cascade Crest): Stands where the largest trees are 80- 200 years old OR the species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm).					
Yes = Category I No = Not a forested wetland for this section	Cat. I				
SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons					
Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?					
— The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from					
marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks					
- The lagoon in which the weiland is located contains ponded water that is same or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (needs to be measured near the bottom)	Cat. I				
Yes – Go to SC 5.1 No = Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon					
SC 5.1. Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?					
— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less					
than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species on p. 100).	Cat. II				
— At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-					
mowed grassland.					
— The wetland is larger than f_{10} ac (4350 ft)					
SC 6.0. Interdunal Wetlands					
Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)? If					
In practical terms that means the following geographic areas:					
— Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103					
— Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105	Cat I				
 Ocean Shores-Copalis: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 					
Yes – Go to SC 6.1 No = not an interdunal wetland for rating					
SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the babitat functions on the form (rates H H H or H H M	Cat. II				
for the three aspects of function)? Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 6.2					
SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger?					
Yes = Category II No – Go to SC 6.3	Cat. III				
SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac?					
Yes = Category III No = Category IV	Cat. IV				
Cotogory of wotland based on Special Characteristics					
If you answered No for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form	NA				

APPENDIX B

WETLAND RATING FIGURES

FIGURE B1 – COWARDIN VEGETATION

FIGURE B2 – HYDROPERIODS MAP

FIGURE B3 – PLANT COVER MAP

FIGURE B4 – LAND USE INTESITY MAP: WETLAND D-N

FIGURE B5 – LAND USE INTESITY MAP: WETLAND E-N

FIGURE B6 – LAND USE INTESITY MAP: WETLAND F-N

FIGURE B7 – LIST OF TMDLS IN PROJECT WATERSHED

FIGURE B8 – 303(d) WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT MAP



Palustrine Scrub-shrub

Source: East, Digital@lobie, GedBye, Earthstan Geographics, CNES/Althus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, TGN, and the GIS User Community

Palustrine Forested/Scrub-shrub

F-N

APPLICANT: La Center School District

Π

725 NE Highland Ave La Center, WA 98629

PURPOSE: Wetland Delineation & Assessment

75

150

300

Feet

Cowardin Vegetation Map NE Lockwood Creek Road - North Parcel La Center, Washington

222 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98660 ph: 360-693-4555 fax: 360-699-6242

OLSON

ENVIRONMENTALus

PROPOSED ACTIVITIES IN: Lockwood Creek Watershed **LEGAL:** NE ¼ of S2, T4N, R1E, W. M. **NEAR:** La Center, Washington COUNTY: Clark County DATE: September 26, 2018



APPLICANT: La Center School District 725 NE Highland Ave La Center, WA 98629

PURPOSE: Wetland Delineation & Assessment

Hydro-Period Map NE Lockwood Creek Road - North Parcel La Center, Washington

222 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98660 ph: 360-693-4555 fax: 360-699-6242

OLSON

ENVIRONMENTALus

PROPOSED ACTIVITIES IN: Lockwood Creek Watershed LEGAL: NE ¼ of S2, T4N, R1E, W. M. NEAR: La Center, Washington COUNTY: Clark County DATE: September 26, 2018



PURPOSE: Wetland Delineation & Assessment

ENVIRONMENTALus 222 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98660 ph: 360-693-4555 fax: 360-699-6242

OLSON



222 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98660 ph: 360-693-4555 fax: 360-699-6242



Assessment

222 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98660 ph: 360-693-4555 fax: 360-699-6242



222 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98660 ph: 360-693-4555 fax: 360-699-6242

				WQ Atlas Map Link 7819	7820	46224	70061		
				<u>WQ Improvement</u> Project					
				<u>WRIA</u> 27 - Lewis	27 - Lewis	27 - Lewis	27 - Lewis		
		Export to File	category 5 Listings.*	Waterbody Name LOCKWOOD CREEK	LOCKWOOD CREEK	LOCKWOOD CREEK	LOCKWOOD CREEK	Export to File	
		New Search Refine Search 4 Matched Lis	a matching the source List 303(d) List contains only (<u>Current</u> Category 5	ı v	5	S	New Search Refine Search	
	rssessment		*The	<u>Parameter</u> Bacteria	Temperature	Bacteria	Bioassessment		
	. Water Quality A			Medium Water	Water	Water	Other		
	Washington State 303(d)/305(b) List aus_wo.etus			Assessement Unit ID 17080002000336	17080002000336	17080002000338	17080002000336	odtes Accessibility, Release Notes	
	ATTARTO OF OLOGY ref Machington Mo Search Tool Conta			ListingID 7819	7820	46224	70061	Pagel Disclaimer Privacy N A Version 1.0.7	
APPLICANT:	Assessment		TN	IDI View	View	.ist	View	Ecclopy Home Approved WQ.	PROPOSED ACTIVITIES IN:

La Center School District 725 NE Highland Ave La Center, WA 98629

PURPOSE: Wetland Delineation & Assessment

TMDL List NE Lockwood Creek Road - North Parcel La Center, Washington

PROPOSED ACTIVITIES IN: Lockwood Creek Watershed LEGAL: NE ¼ of S2, T4N, R1E, W. M. NEAR: La Center, Washington COUNTY: Clark County DATE: September 26, 2018

Figure B7

222 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98660 ph: 360-693-4555 fax: 360-699-6242

OLSON ENVIRONMENTAL LLC DI GLOBINI SLIVIZS - 450 - BRETTAT ELSINONTON


APPLICANT: La Center School District

725 NE Highland Ave La Center, WA 98629 Watershed 303(d) Listing Map NE Lockwood Creek Road - North Parcel La Center, Washington PROPOSED ACTIVITIES IN: Lockwood Creek Watershed LEGAL: NE ¼ of S2, T4N, R1E, W. M. NEAR: La Center, Washington COUNTY: Clark County DATE: September 26, 2018

PURPOSE: Wetland Delineation & Assessment

Figur

222 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98660 ph: 360-693-4555 fax: 360-699-6242

Figure B8